# Imaging of Complete Vertebral Duplication with Normal Neurological Status: A Rare Case

Radiology Section

VISHAL THAKKER<sup>1</sup>, NISARG THAKKER<sup>2</sup>, MANALI ARORA<sup>3</sup>, RAJAN PATEL<sup>4</sup>



#### **ABSTRACT**

Vertebral Duplication represents the most severe aspect of the spectrum of Split Cord Malformations (SCMs). It is a rare anomaly with very few reported cases. Associated other spinal anomalies along with severe neurovascular and genitourinary anomalies may also co-exist. Hereby, authors report a case of a 21-years-old adult female, who presented with history of trauma, incidentally detected to be having complete lumbar duplication along with dural sac duplication and multiple complex segmentation anomalies in the form of incarcerated lateral hemivertebra, butterfly vertebra and non segmented lateral hemivertebra at D10 to D12 vertebrae. On Computed Tomography (CT) imaging these anomalies become well evident while the patient presented with no neurological manifestations or abnormalities. This is a rarely reported scenario in literature where no neurological symptoms are seen in a case of vertebral duplication.

Keywords: Computed tomography, Lumbar vertebra, Spinal anomaly, Spinal duplication, Split cord

## **CASE REPORT**

A 21-year-old female patient presented with history of head injury due to road traffic accident followed by loss of consciousness. Subsequently, CT scan of dorso-lumbar spine was done which revealed complete lumbar spinal duplication anomaly along with dural sac duplication at Lumbar (L) 4 vertebral level. Also, L2-L3-L4 block vertebra, complete sacralisation of the L5 vertebra (Castellvi classification Type IIIB) [1] and posterior spina bifida occulta at lumbo-sacral level were observed. She had no difficulty in walking prior to the trauma. Patient had no neurovascular or genitourinary complaints.

After evaluation for head injury, radiographs of the spine were obtained to rule out spinal injury [Table/Fig-1]. Incidentally, she was found to be having a duplication anomaly of the lumbar spine on radiography. Multiple complex segmentation anomalies in the form of incarcerated lateral hemivertebra, butterfly vertebra and non

segmented lateral hemivertebra at Dorsal (D) 10 to D12 vertebrae [Table/Fig-2]. Mild scoliosis involving lower dorso-lumbo-sacral spine.

Since patient had normal neurological status post-management for

head trauma, she was kept on observation for spinal anomalies.

[Table/Fig-2]: CT Axial bone window images: (a) of lumbar spine at the level of L4 vertebra revealed incomplete lumbar spinal duplication anomaly (Yellow Arrow) along with dural sac duplication at L4 vertebral level. Coronal Bone window; (b) and Volume Rendered Coronal images; (c) show multiple complex segmentations anomalies (yellow arrows) in the form of incarcerated lateral hemi vertebra, butterfly vertebra and non segmented lateral hemivertebra at D10 to D12 vertebral levels (Yellow and Blue Asterix) with complete sacralisation of the L5 vertebra.

[Table/Fig-1]: Plain radiograph Anteroposterior (AP) view of lumbo-sacral spine and pelvis shows Hemi vertebra (blue arrow) and vertebral duplication (yellow arrow) amongst other vertebral anomalies.

# **DISCUSSION**

The Split Cord Malformations (SCMs) are congenital anomalies which are rare and include a wide spectrum of abnormalities. The milder versions include spina bifida occulta to fibrous septae between the two hemicords. The most severe pattern of SCM presents as complete bony duplication of the vertebral column each harbouring its own spinal hemicord. This is often associated with other bony anomalies of the spine causing neurological deficit [1,2]. Only few rare cases of vertebral duplication with normal neurological status are reported in literature [2,3].

Pang D et al., classified the Diastematomyelia in two types. Type I consists of two hemicords, each within its own dural sac [4]. The separation is by a median osteocartilaginous septum. Type II is the presence of two hemicords within a single dural sac separated by a fibrous septum. Present case is classified as Type I SCM as per this classification however, each hemicord has its own bony canal.

In evaluation of vertebral anomalies, plain x-ray is the first modality of investigation with both AP and lateral view. It may show vertebral anomalies and bony spur. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is the investigation of choice which shows the exact morphology of the cord and its hemi divisions along with the extent and pattern

of splitting. It also shows associated anomalies such as tethering of cord, thickening of filum terminale. CT scan with sagittal, coronal and volume rendered reformations is helpful for better bony details [5].

Complete vertebral duplication is a rare congenital entity with only few reported cases. Though, often associated with other bony anomalies and severe genitourinary and gastrointestinal features, asymptomatic patients with normal neurovascular status have also been reported [2,6]. Incesu L et al., presented a 15-year-old female with lower back pain who showed asymmetric lumbar spine duplication on radiological evaluation [2]. She had a tethered cord with a filum terminale lipoma in the sacrum. Since the neurological status of the patient was normal, she was followed by conservative treatment.

Cebesoy O et al., also presented a case of lumbar duplication in an adult male with no associated anomalies and intact neurological status [3]. Similar observations were made by Morgan EN et al., who described complete duplication of lumbar spine and sacrum in a six-year-old child and Ahmed S et al., who described a complete thoraco-lumbar duplication in a six-year-old with overlying lipoma [7,8]. Both these patients had intact neurological status with no focal deficit.

While, it has been proposed in literature that both Type I and II of SCM must be surgically intervened; in view of possible tethering of cord with growing age and manifestation of neurological deficits [9]. In patients like the present one, with no presentation of neurological symptoms and no associations, a more conservative approach with observation has now been suggested by Ahmed S et al., and Goldberg BA et

al., [8,10]. A close monitoring is advised in such cases with judicial balancing of the risks to benefits of surgical intervention.

# **CONCLUSION(S)**

Though multiple clinical presentations and associations of vertebral duplication are known, a normal neurological status is rare but reported. Incidental detection of vertebral anomalies occurs in these cases which may be followed-up non-surgically.

## **REFERENCES**

- Nardo L, Alizai H, Virayavanich W, Liu F, Hernandez A, Lynch JA, et al. Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae: Association with low back pain. Radiology. 2012;265(2):497-503.
- [2] Incesu L, Karaismailoglu TN, Selcuk MB. Neurologically normal complete asymmetric lumbar spine duplication. American Journal of Neuroradiology. 2004;25(5):895-96.
- [3] Cebesoy O, Mete A, Karsli B. Complete lumbar spine duplication in a neurologically intact man. The Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine. 2009;32(1):99-102.
- [4] Pang D, Dias MS, Ahab-Barmada M. Split cord malformation: Part I: A unified theory of embryogenesis for double spinal cord malformations. Neurosurgery. 1992;31(3):451-80.
- [5] Kumar J, Afsal M, Garg A. Imaging spectrum of spinal dysraphism on magnetic resonance: A pictorial review. World Journal of Radiology. 2017;9(4):178-90.
- [6] Sinha S, Agarwal D, Mahapatra AK. Split cord malformations: An experience of 203 cases. Child's Nervous System. 2006;22(1):03-07.
- [7] Morgan EN, Caskey SM, Bronson WE, Baird GO, Tompkins BJ, Caskey PM. Lumbar spine duplication: A case report. Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics B. 2016;25(3):275-77.
- [8] Ahmed S, Xenos C, Hockley AD. Thoraco-lumbar duplication of the spine. Child's Nervous System. 2000;16(9):603-06.
- [9] Kumar R, Singh V, Singh SN. Split cord malformation (occult spina bifida): An Indian scenario. JK Science. 2005;7(4):192-94.
- [10] Goldberg BA, Erwin WD, Heggeness MH. Lumbar spine duplication presenting as adolescent scoliosis: A case report. Spine. 1998;23(4):504-07.

#### PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Radio-Diagnosis, Pramukhswami Medical College, Anand, Gujarat, India.
- 2. Postgraduate Resident, Department of Radio-Diagnosis, Pramukhswami Medical College, Anand, Gujarat, India.
- 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Radio-Diagnosis, Pramukhswami Medical College, Anand, Gujarat, India
- 4. Postgraduate Resident, Department of Radio-Diagnosis, Pramukhswami Medical College, Anand, Gujarat, India.

#### NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Manali Arora,

H. No. 1546, Sector 15, Sonipat, Haryana, India.

E-mail: drmanaliat@gmail.com

#### AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. Yes

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain Het al.]

- Plagiarism X-checker: Jan 06, 2021
- Manual Googling: Apr 06, 2021
- iThenticate Software: Apr 30, 2021 (13%)

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

Date of Submission: Jan 05, 2021 Date of Peer Review: Feb 22, 2021 Date of Acceptance: Apr 06, 2021 Date of Publishing: May 01, 2021